arXiv:0812.2936v1 [math.ST] 15 Dec 2008

From Schoenberg to Pick-Nevanlinna: towards a complete picture of the variogram class

Emilio Porcu[1]

University Jaume I of Castellón

Department of Mathematics

Campus Riu Sec

E-12071 Castellón, Spain

porcu@mat.uji.es

René L. Schilling

Technical University Dresden

Institute of Mathematical Stochastics

D-01062 Dresden, Germany

rene.schilling@tu-dresden.de

This work was initiated when the first-named author was visiting the Technical University of Dresden. He is grateful to Professor Zoltán Sasvári for the invitation and the hospitality.

Abstract

We show that a large subclass of variograms is closed under Schur products and that some desirable stability properties, like the Schur product of *ad hoc* compositions, can be obtained under the proposed setting. We introduce new classes of kernels of Schoenberg-Lévy type and show some important properties of eventually constant, radially symmetric variograms. In particular, we characterize eventually constant variograms in terms of their permissibility in Euclidean spaces of arbitrary high dimension.

Keywords: Eventually constant variograms, isotropy, Schur product, Schoenberg-Lévy kernels, variograms

[1]Corresponding author

From Schoenberg to Pick-Nevanlinna: towards a complete picture of the variogram class

Emilio Porcu René L. Schilling

1 Introduction

Positive and conditionally negative definite functions on groups or semigroups have a long history and they appear in many applications in probability theory, operator theory, potential theory, moment problems and various other areas. They enter as an important chapter in all treatments of harmonic analysis, and their origins can be traced back to papers by Caratheodory, Herglotz, Bernstein and Matthias, culminating in Bochner's theorem from 1932, see the survey in Sasvári [22]. Schoenberg's theorem explains the possibility of constructing rotationally invariant positive definite and conditionally negative definite functions on Euclidean spaces via completely monotone functions and Bernstein functions. Positive and conditionally negative definite functions are a cornerstone of spatial statistics where they are, respectively, known as *covariances* (or kernels) and *variograms*. The theory of random fields, started in the in the 40s with the early works of Kolmogorov (see [6] and references quoted there) and further developed by Gandin [8] and Matheron [18] among others, is based on the specification of these classes. In particular, the kriging predictor, *viz.* the best linear unbiased predictor, depends exclusively on the underlying covariance or variogram and we refer to the tour de force in Stein [26] for a rigorous assessment of this framework.

Let $\{Z(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a weakly stationary and Gaussian random field (RF for short). The associated covariance function $C : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite, that is for any finite collection of points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the matrix $(C(\xi_i - \xi_j))_{i,j=1}^n$ is positive definite, *i.e.*

$$\text{for all } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} : \qquad \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i C(\xi_i - \xi_j)\overline{a}_j \geq 0.$$

Thus, a function $C : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite if and only if there exists a weakly stationary and Gaussian RF having $C(\cdot)$ as covariance function. If $C(\cdot)$ is *radially symmetric*, the associated Gaussian RF is called *isotropic*.

It is well known that the family of covariance functions is a convex cone which is closed under addition, products, pointwise convergence and scale mixtures; for these basic facts the reader is referred to standard textbooks of geostatistics such as Chilès and Delfiner [6].

A *variogram* $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is the variance of the increments of an intrinsically stationary RF, that is, for any two points $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(Z(\xi_1) - Z(\xi_2)) := \gamma(\xi_1 - \xi_2)$. Variograms are *conditionally negative definite*, that is for any

finite collection of points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\text{for all } \ a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \ \text{ such that } \ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0 \ : \qquad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i \gamma(\xi_i - \xi_j) \overline{a}_j \leq 0. \tag{1}$$

There is a close relationship between variograms γ and stationary covariance functions C. The elementary estimate $|C(\xi)| \leq C(0) =: \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}Z$ shows that stationary covariance functions are necessarily bounded; in particular, $\gamma(\xi) := C(0) - C(\xi)$ is a variogram. Indeed, variograms may be unbounded, as in the case of fractional Brownian motion. If, however, the variogram is bounded, then it is necessarily of the form $C(0) - C(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for some stationary covariance function $C(\cdot)$.

The terminology concerning positive and conditional negative definiteness is not uniform throughout the literature; it depends very much on the mathematical context or the application in science. Christakos [7] and many other applied scientists use the notion of *permissibility* for both concepts: positive and conditional negative definiteness. We will use both conventions alongside whenever no confusion can arise.

If C is compactly supported, *i.e.* if it is zero outside some compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the associated variogram $\gamma(\xi) := C(0) - C(\xi)$ will be called from now on *eventually constant*. Compactly supported covariance functions have been well understood since the early 90's with the works of Gaspari and Cohn [9] and Gneiting [12] and they are particularly important in recent literature oriented to the analysis of massive spatial datasets. Thus, eventually constant variograms represent an important tool whose properties have not been assessed yet, and these facts constitute an important motivation for the present work.

In this paper we are mainly interested in radially symmetric covariances and variograms. This means that the associated Gaussian RF is weakly or intrinsically stationary and isotropic. Isotropy and stationarity are independent assumptions but we will assume both to keep things simple. An isotropic covariance function, rescaled by its value at the origin, is the characteristic function of a radially symmetric random vector on the sphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$. This class of covariances is well understood and we refer to Gneiting [10, 11] and the references given there for an extensive survey of this topic. Much less is known about variograms. For instance, it is common knowledge that the class of variograms is a convex cone which is closed in the weak topology of pointwise convergence, but the Schur product of two variograms is not necessarily a variogram. This is a point that deserves a thorough discussion in the light of a recent beautiful result in Ma [17, Theorem 3(i)] where a simple permissibility condition is given for the product of two exponential variograms composed with a homogeneous function.

We shall also give a general answer to this question as well as a complete characterization of those variograms whose Schur product preserves conditional negative definiteness. We shall then focus on other challenging problems related to *ad hoc* compositions of variograms as well as to quasi arithmetic compositions of them.

The use of kernels of Schoenberg-Lévy type has been persistently emphasized by both old and recent literature.

In this paper we give new forms of kernels of this type that may be appealing for modelling in spatial statistics.

Another crucial problem faced in this paper regards the potential trade-off between, on the one hand, the computational advantages induced by the use of compactly supported kernels and, on the other hand, the fact that compactly supported kernels can be positive definite only on finite dimensional spaces, by a striking and beautiful result due to Wendland [29]. We consider this problem from the point of view of variograms; this makes sense since variograms, which are possibly unbounded, represent a larger class than covariance functions. As mentioned before, compactly supported covariances correspond to eventually constant variograms. We will characterize all eventually constant radially symmetric variograms in dimensions $d \geq 2$ and give some characterizations of these classes in terms of their eventual periodicity.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the basic material required for a self-contained exposition and for understanding the technical proofs of our statements. Section 3 assesses new stability properties of the variogram class, while Section 4 is dedicated to kernels of Schoenberg-Lévy type. Section 5 discusses the relation between eventually constant variograms and their permissibility in arbitrarily high dimensional spaces. We also assess some other important properties of this class.

2 Pick-Nevanlinna functions and complete monotonicity

This section is mainly expository and we collect here some basic material needed later on. We will frequently use the following characterization of variograms, for which a proof can be found in Berg and Forst [3, Proposition 7.5].

Theorem 1. *A function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a variogram if, and only if, the following three conditions are satisfied*

i) $\gamma(0) \geq 0$*;*

ii) $\gamma(\xi) = \gamma(-\xi)$*;*

iii) γ *is conditionally negative definite, i.e. equation* (1) *holds for all* $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$*.*

Often Pólya's Theorem, cf. [3, Theorem 5.4] comes in handy if one wants to construct concrete examples of variograms.

Theorem 2. *A continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ which is even, i.e. $\phi(x) = \phi(-x)$, decreasing and convex on the interval $(0, \infty)$, is positive definite.*

Clearly, $\phi(0) - \phi(x)$ is increasing, concave and a variogram, e.g. [3, Corollary 7.7].

Recall that a function $f :]0, \infty[\to \mathbb{R}$ is called *completely monotone*, if it is arbitrarily often differentiable and

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0, \; n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

By Bernstein's theorem the set $\mathcal{CM}$ of completely monotone functions coincides with the set of Laplace transforms of positive measures μ on $[0,\infty[$, *i.e.*

$$f(x) = \mathcal{L}\mu(x) = \int_{[0,\infty[} \mathrm{e}^{-xt}\, d\mu(t),$$

where we only require that e^{-xt} is μ-integrable for any $x > 0$. $\mathcal{CM}$ is a convex cone which is closed under addition, multiplication and pointwise convergence.

Definition 3. A function $f :]0,\infty[\to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Stieltjes function*, if it is of the form

$$f(x) = a + \int_{[0,\infty[} \frac{d\mu(t)}{x+t} \tag{2}$$

where $a \geq 0$, and μ is a positive measure on $[0,\infty[$ such that $\int_{[0,\infty[}(1+t)^{-1}\, d\mu(t) < \infty$.

The set $\mathcal{S}$ of Stieltjes functions is a convex cone such that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{CM}$. Since Stieltjes functions are double Laplace transforms, this inclusion is easy to verify. For every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the fractional power $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{CM}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, is again a Stieltjes function. Thus, f^α is completely monotone and therefore infinitely divisible. The formula

$$\frac{1}{x(1+x^2)} = \int_{[0,\infty[} \mathrm{e}^{-xt}(1-\cos t)\, dt$$

shows that $x^{-1}(1+x^2)^{-1}$ is completely monotone; but it cannot be a Stieltjes function, since it has poles at $\pm i$, and (2) indicates that a Stieltjes function has a holomorphic extension to the cut plane $\mathbb{C}\backslash]-\infty, 0]$.

Definition 4. A function $f :]0,\infty[\to [0,\infty[$ is called a *Bernstein function*, if it is of class C^∞ such that $f' \in \mathcal{CM}$.

The set of Bernstein functions is denoted $\mathcal{BF}$; it is a convex cone closed under pointwise convergence. Since a Bernstein function is non-negative and increasing, it has a non-negative limit $f(0+)$. Integrating the Bernstein representation of the completely monotone function f' gives the following integral representation of $f \in \mathcal{BF}$

$$f(x) = \alpha x + \beta + \int_{]0,\infty[} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-xt})\, \nu(dt), \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are constants and ν is the *Lévy measure*, *i.e.* a positive measure on $]0,\infty[$ satisfying

$$\int_{]0,\infty[} \frac{t}{1+t}\, \nu(dt) < \infty.$$

The following composition result will be useful throughout the paper, see [3]:

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any of the sets $\mathcal{BF}, \mathcal{CM}$. Then*

$$f \in \mathcal{X},\ g \in \mathcal{BF} \implies f \circ g \in \mathcal{X}.$$

If we assume that the representing measure $\nu(dt)$ in (3) is of the form $\nu(dt) = m(t)\,dt$ where $m(t)$ is completely monotone, we get the family of *complete Bernstein functions*. We denote the collection of all complete Bernstein functions by $\mathcal{CBF}$. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{CBF}$ is, like $\mathcal{BF}$, a convex cone which is closed under pointwise limits. Complete Bernstein functions are widely used in various fields and they are known under a variety of different names: Bondesson T_2-class, operator-monotone functions, Nevanlinna-Pick functions are some synonyms. An extensive survey can be found in Schilling, Song and Vondraček [23], a short introduction is in [24] and [15]. Among the most prominent examples of complete Bernstein functions are

$$x \mapsto \frac{\lambda x}{\lambda + x} \quad (\lambda > 0), \qquad x \mapsto x^\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha < 1), \qquad x \mapsto \log(1+x), \qquad x \mapsto \sqrt{x}\arctan\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

Further examples are given below in Table 3. Many Bernstein functions given in closed form are already in $\mathcal{CBF}$. The only known examples from $\mathcal{BF} \setminus \mathcal{CBF}$ are of the form $\sum_{\text{finite}} p_i\,(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_i x})$ with $p_i, \lambda_i \geq 0$.

The following results are adapted from [23].

Theorem 6. *A function $f :]0,\infty[\to [0,\infty[$ such that $f(0+)$ exists is a complete Bernstein function if, and only if, it has an analytic extension to the cut complex plane $\mathbb{C}\setminus]-\infty, 0]$ such that $\operatorname{Im} z \cdot \operatorname{Im} f(z) \geq 0$, that is, f preserves upper and lower half planes.*

A proof can also be found in [15]. This geometric description can be used to show that, for any $f \not\equiv 0$,

$$f \in \mathcal{CBF} \iff \left[x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}\right] \in \mathcal{S} \iff \left[x \mapsto \frac{x}{f(x)}\right] \in \mathcal{CBF} \iff \frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Moreover, the following composition results are immediate. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be either $\mathcal{CBF}$ or $\mathcal{S}$, then

$$f, g \in \mathcal{X} \implies f \circ g \in \mathcal{CBF}.$$

The following stability properties are less obvious, see [23].

Theorem 7. *Let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{CBF}$ and $f \not\equiv 0$. Then*

i) $\big(f^\alpha(x) + g^\alpha(x)\big)^{1/\alpha} \in \mathcal{CBF}$ *for all* $\alpha \in [-1,1] \setminus \{0\}$;

ii) $\big(f(x^\alpha) + g(x^\alpha)\big)^{1/\alpha} \in \mathcal{CBF}$ *for all* $\alpha \in [-1,1] \setminus \{0\}$;

iii) $f(x^\alpha) \cdot g(x^{1-\alpha}) \in \mathcal{CBF}$ *for all* $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

iv) $h(f(x)) \cdot g\left(\dfrac{x}{f(x)}\right) \in \mathcal{CBF}$.

All proofs, nicely cleaned up, will appear in [23]; assertion (iv) was discovered by Uchiyama [27, Lemma 2.1], and since fractional powers $f(x) = x^\alpha$, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ are in $\mathcal{CBF}$, (iv) entails (iii). For positive $\alpha > 0$ assertions (i), (ii) are in Nakamura [20], his proofs are easily adapted to $\alpha < 0$ since $f \in \mathcal{CBF}$ if, and only if, $1/f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Letting $\alpha \to 0$ in Theorem 7 proves

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\, f^\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\, g^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha} = \sqrt{fg}$$

and since pointwise limits of complete Bernstein functions are complete Bernstein, we conclude that

$$f, g \in \mathcal{CBF} \implies \sqrt{fg} \in \mathcal{CBF}.$$

Using that $]0,1[\ni \alpha \mapsto f^\alpha$ is continuous, this can be used to give a new proof of the so-called log-convexity of the cone $\mathcal{CBF}$:

$$f, g \in \mathcal{CBF},\ \alpha \in [0,1] \implies f^\alpha \cdot g^{1-\alpha} \in \mathcal{CBF}.$$

An alternative proof can be found in Berg [2] and [23].

3 Variograms and their stability properties

As already emphasized in Section 1, the starting point for this work is a result in Ma [17, Theorem 3(i)], which is reported below with a short alternative proof.

Theorem 8 (Ma 2007)**.** *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous function. Then*

$$\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-a_1 \gamma(\xi)}\right)\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-a_2 \gamma(\xi)}\right), \tag{4}$$

$a_i \ge 0$, $i = 1, 2$, is a variogram if, and only if, $\gamma(\xi) = |A\xi|$, for $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm and A a $d \times d$ matrix.

It is natural to ask wether Ma's theorem works only for the exponential class of variograms, or wether it can be generalized. The subsequent result gives an answer to this problem, offering a wide class of variograms closed under Schur product. The result presented herein is not a mere generalization of Ma's result, but the key to a simple proof of Theorem 8 as well.

Theorem 9. *Let $\mathbb{R}^d \ni \xi \mapsto \gamma_i(\xi) = g_i(|\xi|^2)$ be radially symmetric variograms for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \le 1$ and let A be a $d \times d$ matrix. Then*

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) := g_1(|A\xi|^{2\alpha}) g_2(|A\xi|^{2\beta})$$

is still a variogram on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof of this result follows the arguments in Schilling, Song and Vondraček [23]. Indeed, if γ_i are radially symmetric and permissible on any d-dimensional Euclidean space, then by a theorem due to Schoenberg they must be of the form $\gamma_i(\xi) := g_i(|\xi|^2)$, where $g_i \in \mathcal{BF}$, see Schoenberg [25], Bochner [5, Theorem 4.4.4] or Jacob and Schilling [16, Appendix] for alternative proofs.

Set $h_{\alpha,\beta}(x) := g_1(x^\alpha) \cdot g_2(x^\beta)$, $x > 0$. It is enough to show that $h'_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{CM}$. Indeed, this proves that $h_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a Bernstein function and that $f_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) = h_{\alpha,\beta}(|A\xi|^2)$ is a variogram.

Elementary algebra shows that

$$h'(x) = x^{\alpha+\beta-1} \left(\alpha g_1'(x^\alpha) \frac{g_2(x^\beta)}{x^\beta} + \beta g_2'(x^\beta) \frac{g_1(x^\alpha)}{x^\alpha} \right).$$

Since $g_i \in \mathcal{BF}$, we have that $g_i' \in \mathcal{CM}$ and $x^{-1} g_1(x) \in \mathcal{CM}$. So will be the compositions $g_1'(x^\alpha)$ and $g_2'(x^\beta), g_1(x^\alpha)/x^\alpha$ and $g_2(x^\beta)/x^\beta$ by a straightforward application of Theorem 5. Moreover, for $\alpha + \beta \le 1$, $x \mapsto x^{\alpha+\beta-1}$ is completely monotone. The proof is finished since completely monotone functions are a convex cone closed under sum and product. □

The proof of the result above offers a considerably easier way to show Ma's result:

Proof of Theorem 8. If $\gamma(\xi) = |A\xi|$, Theorem 9 with $g_i(x) = 1 - \exp(-a_i x)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ shows that (4) is a variogram.

Assume now that (4) is a variogram. Then

$$\xi \mapsto \frac{\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-a_1 \gamma(\xi)}\right)}{a_1} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-a_2 \gamma(\xi)}\right)}{a_2} \quad \xrightarrow[a_2 \to 0]{a_1 \to 0} \quad \gamma^2(\xi)$$

is a variogram for all $a_1, a_2 > 0$, and so is the pointwise limit as $a_1 \to 0$ and then $a_2 \to 0$; thus, $\gamma^2(\xi)$ is a real-valued variogram. As such, it has a Lévy-Khinchine representation

$$\gamma^2(\xi) = Q\xi \cdot \xi + \int_{x \neq 0} (1 - \cos(x \cdot \xi))\, \nu(dx),$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is positive semi-definite and ν is a measure with $\int_{x \neq 0} |x|^2 \wedge 1 \, \nu(dx) < \infty$. Since $\gamma(\xi)$ is homogeneous, we get

$$\gamma^2(\xi) = \frac{\gamma^2(n\xi)}{n^2} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} Q\xi \cdot \xi = \left|\sqrt{Q}\,\xi\right|^2,$$

for the uniquely determined, positive semidefinite square root $A = \sqrt{Q}$ of Q. □

Obviously, if $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$ and if we take two exponential variograms we get Ma's example, see above. Thus, Theorem 9 enlarges considerably the scenario for the permissibility of the product of two variograms. Several examples of Bernstein functions may be found in [3] or in [16]; an extensive list will be included in the monograph by [23]. Three celebrated classes of Bernstein functions are well known in the spatial statistics literature:

1. the *Matérn* class ([19]) $f_{\alpha,\nu} = 1 - 2^{1-\nu}/\Gamma(\nu)(\alpha\sqrt{x})^{\nu} K_{\nu}(\alpha\sqrt{x})$, $x > 0$, for $\alpha, \nu > 0$ and where K_{ν} is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order ν;

2. the *Cauchy* class ([13]) $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) := 1 - (1 + x^{\alpha})^{-\beta}$, $x > 0$, where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 < \beta$;

3. the *Dagum* class ([4]) $f_{\rho,\gamma}(x) := \left(\frac{x^{\rho}}{1 + x^{\rho}}\right)^{\gamma}$, $x > 0$, where $\rho, \gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Let us mention a few more stability properties that make some classes of functions appealing for its use in spatial statistics. We work again under the framework of radially symmetric functions. Recall that all variograms γ which are radially symmetric and permissible in all dimensions $d = 1, 2, \ldots$ are of the form $\gamma(\xi) = f(|\xi|^2)$ with a Bernstein function $f \in \mathcal{BF}$.

Proposition 10. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be radially symmetric for all dimensions $d = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that $\gamma(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some $g \in \mathcal{CBF}$. Then*

i) $\mathbb{R}^d \ni \xi \mapsto \dfrac{|\xi|^2}{\gamma(\xi)}$ *is a radially symmetric variogram which is permissible for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

ii) $\mathbb{R}^d \ni \xi \mapsto \dfrac{1}{g\left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\right)}$ *and $\xi \mapsto |\xi|^2 \, g\left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\right)$ are radially symmetric variograms which are permissible for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Part **i)** can be easily proved by noting that $g \in \mathcal{CBF}$ if and only if $g(x)/x$ is a Stieltjes transform. Since the reciprocal of a Stieltjes transform is completely Bernstein, we get the assertion.

Part **ii)** follows straight by noting that, for $g \in \mathcal{CBF}$, the function $x \mapsto 1/g(1/x)$ is a composition of the type $\sigma \circ g \circ \sigma(x)$, where σ is the Stieltjes transform $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$. Since the composition $\sigma \circ g$ is a Stieltjes transform, and since the composition of two Stieltjes transforms is in $\mathcal{CBF}$, we have the first assertion of Part **ii)**. If we apply Part **i)** to this variogram, the second assertion follows. □

For further (stability) properties of the class $\mathcal{CBF}$ the reader is referred to [23]; some examples of complete Bernstein functions are given below.

Another interesting problem arises when quasi arithmetic operators in the sense of Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [14] are applied to variograms. This means that we seek conditions which preserve the permissibility of the underlying structure. This has been considered in [21] for quasi arithmetic composition of covariance functions. We believe that the same question in connection with variograms is even more challenging from the mathematical point of view and is equally important as far as statistics are concerned.

Recall that a *power mean* is a mapping of the form $(u, v) \mapsto \psi_{\alpha}(u, v) := (u^{\alpha} + v^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$, for $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 11. *Let $\gamma_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, be radially symmetric variograms for all dimensions $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We write g_i for the radial function such that $\gamma_i(\xi) = g_i(|\xi|^2)$.*

i) *If $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{CBF}$, then $\xi \mapsto \left(\gamma_1^\alpha(\xi) + \gamma_2^\alpha(\xi)\right)^{1/\alpha}$ is for all $\alpha \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ a variogram.*

ii) *If $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{CBF}$, then $\xi \mapsto \left(g_1(|\xi|^{2\alpha}) + g_2(|\xi|^{2\alpha})\right)^{1/\alpha}$ is for all $\alpha \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ a variogram.*

iii) *$\xi \mapsto g_1(|\xi|^{2\alpha})\, g_2(|\xi|^{2-2\alpha})$ is for all $0 < \alpha < 1$ a variogram.*

Proof. Assertion iii) is a simple consequence of Theorem 9. We want to mention at this point that for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{CBF}$, the resulting rotationally symmetric variogram would be again of the form $h(|\xi|^2)$ with $h \in \mathcal{CBF}$, see Theorem 7 iv). Both i) and ii) follow immediately from 7 i) and ii), respectively. □

Finally, we combine two aspects treated separately until now: Given two or three isotropic variograms, we seek permissibility conditions for the product of *ad hoc* compositions. The proposition below results from a simple application of Theorem 7.

Proposition 12. *Let $\mathbb{R}^d \ni \xi \mapsto \gamma_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, radially symmetric and isotropic variograms for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $\gamma_i(\xi) = g_i(|\xi|^2)$ where $g_i \in \mathcal{CBF}$. Then*

$$\xi \mapsto \gamma_1(\xi)\, \gamma_2\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\gamma_1(\xi)}}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma_3\left(\sqrt{\gamma_1(\xi)}\right) \gamma_2\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\gamma_1(\xi)}}\right)$$

are still permissible for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and of the form $h(|\xi|^2)$ with some $h \in \mathcal{CBF}$.

Several examples of completely Bernstein functions for which spatial statisticians are not aware of can be found in the monograph [23]. Table 3 offers a small selection.

4 Kernels and variograms of the Schoenberg-Lévy type

This section explores some results that may be obtained when working with kernels of the Schoenberg-Lévy type. These kernels are extensively used in the literature and we refer to Ma [17] and the references given there. For $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, these are nonstationary covariance functions obtained from a nonnegative function $g : [0, \infty[\to [0, \infty[$ such that $g(0) = 0$ through the linear combination

$$g(|\xi_1|) + g(|\xi_2|) - g(|\xi_1 - \xi_2|).$$

Celebrated examples are the fractional Brownian sheet [1], with $g(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. Ma [17] shows that for a fixed $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the function

$$C_{\xi_0}(\xi) := g(|\xi + \xi_0|) + g(|\xi - \xi_0|) - 2g(|\xi|)$$

Function	Parameters Restriction	Function	Parameters Restriction
$1-\frac{1}{(1+x^\alpha)^\beta}$	$0<\alpha,\beta\le 1$	$\mathrm{e}x-x\Big(1+\frac{1}{x}\Big)^x-\frac{x}{x+1}$	
$\Big(\frac{x^\rho}{1+x^\rho}\Big)^\gamma$	$0<\gamma,\rho<1$	$\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{x}\log\Big(1+\frac{x}{a}\Big)$	$a>0$
$\frac{x^\alpha-x(1+x)^{\alpha-1}}{(1+x)^\alpha-x^\alpha}$	$0<\alpha<1$	$\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}\,\frac{\sinh^2\sqrt{2x}}{\sinh(2\sqrt{2x})}$	
$\sqrt{x}\,\big(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2a\sqrt{x}}\big)$	$a>0$	$x^{1-\nu}\,\mathrm{e}^{ax}\,\Gamma(\nu;ax)$	$a>0,\ 0<\nu<1$
$\frac{x\,\big(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\sqrt{x+a}}\big)}{\sqrt{x+a}}$	$a>0$	$x^\nu\,\mathrm{e}^{a/x}\,\Gamma\big(\nu;\frac{a}{x}\big)$	$a>0,\ 0<\nu<1$

Table 1: Examples of complete Bernstein functions. $\Gamma(a;x):=\int_x^\infty t^{a-1}\,\mathrm{e}^{-t}\,dt$ is the incomplete Gamma function.

is a covariance function provided that $g(|\xi|)$ is a variogram. Indeed, we are going to show that this is a simple consequence of the following more general result.

Lemma 13. *Let $\gamma(\xi)$ be a continuous variogram, and let ξ,η arguments of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d\in\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\gamma_\eta(\xi):=\gamma(\xi+\eta)+\gamma(\xi-\eta)-2\gamma(\xi)$$

is a continuous covariance function (in ξ) and a continuous variogram (in η). Moreover,

$$\phi_\eta(\xi):=2\gamma(\eta)+2\gamma(\xi)-\gamma(\xi+\eta)-\gamma(\xi-\eta)$$

is a continuous variogram both in ξ and in η.

Proof. Recall the following elementary formula for the cosine: $\cos(a+b)+\cos(a-b)=2\cos a\cos b$. Since $\gamma(\xi)$ has the following Lévy-Khinchine representation,

$$\gamma(\xi)=Q\xi\cdot\xi+\int_{x\neq 0}(1-\cos x\cdot\xi)\,\nu(dx)$$

we find

$$\gamma_\eta(\xi)=Q(\xi+\eta)\cdot(\xi+\eta)+Q(\xi-\eta)\cdot(\xi-\eta)-2Q\xi\cdot\xi$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad + \int_{x\neq 0} \big(2\cos x\cdot\xi - \cos x\cdot(\xi+\eta) - \cos x\cdot(\xi-\eta)\big)\,\nu(dx)\\
&= 2Q\eta\cdot\eta + \int_{x\neq 0} \big(2\cos x\cdot\xi - 2\cos x\cdot\xi\cos x\cdot\eta\big)\,\nu(dx)\\
&= 2Q\eta\cdot\eta + 2\int_{x\neq 0} \big(1-\cos x\cdot\eta\big)\,\cos x\cdot\xi\,\nu(dx).
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\gamma_\eta(\xi)$ is symmetric and positive definite, hence a covariance function. Now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_\eta(\xi) &= 2\gamma(\eta) - \gamma_\eta(\xi)\\
&= 2Q\eta\cdot\eta + 2\int_{x\neq 0}\big(1-\cos x\cdot\eta\big)\,\nu(dx) - 2Q\eta\cdot\eta - 2\int_{x\neq 0}\big(1-\cos x\cdot\eta\big)\,\cos x\cdot\xi\,\nu(dx)\\
&= 2\int_{x\neq 0}\big(1-\cos x\cdot\eta\big)\,(1-\cos x\cdot\xi)\,\nu(dx).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi_\eta(\xi)$ is a variogram in ξ for every fixed η and vice versa. The proof is completed. □

The previous result has some obvious generalizations. Consider, for example, continuous complex-valued functions $\gamma:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the permissibility condition (1) for all $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Since these conditionally negative definite functions also enjoy a (complex) Lévy-Khinchine representation, cf. Berg and Forst [3], an argument along the lines of the proof of Lemma 13 shows that for every fixed $\xi_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\phi_{\xi_0}(\xi) := 2\gamma(\xi) + 2\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(\xi_0) - \gamma(\xi-\xi_0) - \gamma(\xi+\xi_0)
$$

is permissible and has the following Lévy-Khinchine representation

$$
\phi_{\xi_0}(\xi) = 2\int_{y\neq 0}\big(1-\mathrm{e}^{iy\cdot\xi}\big)\big(1-\cos(y\cdot\xi_0)\big)\,\nu(dy)
$$

where ν is the Lévy measure of γ. Taking everywhere real parts, we are back in the variogram case of Lemma 13.

5 Eventually constant, periodic and permissible variograms

In this section we characterize (rotationally symmetric) variograms which are constant on some annulus. Recall that we call a variogram eventually constant if it is constant outside some compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}^d$. Variograms of this type are, for instance, the celebrated spherical model, see Chiles and Delfiner [6] and literature quoted there,

$$
\gamma(\xi) := 1 - \frac{3}{2}|\xi| + \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2,
$$

that is a valid isotropic variogram on $\mathbb{R}^4$ and lower dimensional spaces, but not in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $d>5$, see Gneiting [11]. Other compactly supported variograms can be obtained by $\xi\mapsto C_r(0)-C_r(\xi)$ where C_r is a compactly supported

covariance function that vanishes outside the ball of radius r. Other models that are suitable for this purpose are, for instance, the Wendland class [28]

$$C_r(\xi) := \left(1 - \frac{|\xi|}{r}\right)_+^l, \tag{5}$$

which is permissible whenever $l \geq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1$.

Compactly supported covariances and eventually constant variograms are particularly requested by spatial statisticians because they can represent effective solutions to the analysis of massive spatial dataset. The best linear unbiased predictor, alias *kriging*, depends on the inverse of either the covariance or the variogram matrix — and a compactly supported matrix is sparse and thus inversion is computationally less demanding. For this reason, the literature requires, on the one hand, new models of compactly supported correlations with desirable features, and, on the other hand, inspection of the general properties of compactly supported correlations or, equivalently, finally constant, variograms. A thorough study can be found in Wendland [29]. Of particular importance is his striking result in Theorem 2, Chapter 9, which entails that a candidate function that is compactly supported and radially symmetric cannot be positive definite for *all* dimensions d. This is consequence of the fact that completely monotone functions admit an analytic continuation to the complex half plane $\{\mathrm{Re}\, z > 0\}$. Let us give some important properties that will be stated in terms of variograms.

Proposition 14. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a variogram. If $\gamma(y) = \gamma(0)$ for some $y \neq 0$, then $\gamma(\xi + y) = \gamma(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. γ is periodic with period y.*

Proof. We assume $\gamma(0) = 0$, otherwise we switch to $\phi(\xi) := \gamma(\xi) - \gamma(0)$ and note that $\phi(\xi)$ is still a variogram. The following inequality is well-known for conditionally negative definite functions, see [3, proof of Proposition 7.15]

$$|\gamma(\xi) + \gamma(-y) - \gamma(\xi - y)|^2 \leq \big(2\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(\xi) - \gamma(0)\big)\big(2\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(\eta) - \gamma(0)\big)$$

(we kept the notation used in $\mathbb{C}$ even though $\gamma = \mathrm{Re}\,\gamma$ is real valued). Since $\gamma(0) = 0$, we conclude from $\gamma(y) = \gamma(-y) = 0$ that $|\gamma(\xi) - \gamma(\xi - y)|^2 \leq 2\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(\xi) \cdot 0 = 0$ which proves periodicity: $\gamma(\xi \pm y) = \gamma(\xi)$. □

Remark 15. With very little changes the proof of Proposition 14 extends to complex valued conditionally negative definite functions $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ and shows that γ is periodic.

Proposition 16. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a variogram such that $\gamma | I \equiv 0$ for some interval $I = [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then we have $\gamma \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Recall that square roots of variograms are subadditive, cf. [3, Prop. 7.15]. For $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, one clearly has $\lambda \cdot \epsilon = (\alpha + \lambda\epsilon) - \alpha$ and thus

$$\sqrt{\gamma(\lambda\epsilon)} \leq \sqrt{\gamma(\alpha + \lambda\epsilon)} + \sqrt{\gamma(-\alpha)} \leq \sqrt{\gamma(\alpha + \lambda\epsilon)} + \sqrt{\gamma(\alpha)} = 0.$$

We conclude that $\gamma|(0,\epsilon] \equiv 0$ and in view of Proposition 14 we get $\gamma \equiv 0$. □

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a variogram in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and write $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_e e_i$ where $e_j = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0, 1}_{j}, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the jth basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By subadditivity we get

$$\sqrt{\gamma(\xi)} \le \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\gamma(\xi_i e_i)}.$$

Since $\mathbb{R} \ni \xi_i \mapsto \gamma(\xi_i e_i)$ is a one-dimensional variogram, Proposition 16 shows at once

Corollary 17. *Every variogram $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact is identically zero.*

A closer inspection of the proof of Proposition 16 reveals that it is enough to assume that the variogram γ is zero on sets of the type $\{te_j \ : \ \alpha_j < t < \beta_j\}$ for some constants $0 < \alpha_j < \beta_j$ and all coordinate directions $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

Things are different if a variogram γ is constant on some set but not equal to $\gamma(0)$. Consider, for simplicity, rotationally invariant variograms, *i.e.* variograms γ of the form $\gamma(\xi) = f(|\xi|^2)$, and assume that $f|[a,b] = \gamma$, *i.e.* γ is constant on some annulus of width $b-a$ and inner radius a. If we know that $\eta \mapsto f(|\eta|^2)$ is a variogram for all dimensions $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Schoenberg's result already mentioned in Section 3 tells us that f is a Bernstein function. As such, f is real-analytic, and $f|[a,b]$ can only be constant if it is constant on $[0,\infty)$. This already proves

Proposition 18. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a radially symmetric variogram which is permissible on any d-dimensional Euclidean space. Further, assume that $\gamma(0) = 0$. Then, there does not exist any $\xi_0 > 0$ such that $\gamma(\xi) = c \neq 0$ for all $|\xi| > \xi_0$.*

Often we are in a situation $\gamma(\xi) = f(|\xi|^2)$ is a variogram up to some dimension only. Typically such examples are constructed with the help of Pólya's Theorem 2, as for instance the Wendland function in equation (5). The following theorem shows that this is more or less the only situation that can appear.

Theorem 19. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $d > 1$, be a rotationally symmetric, continuous variogram, i.e. $\gamma(\xi) = f(|\xi|^2)$ for some $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$. Then:*

i) *$\mathbb{R}^k \ni \eta \mapsto f(|\eta|^2)$ is a variogram for all dimensions $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$;*

ii) *f is increasing, continuous, subadditive and concave;*

iii) *If $f|[a,b] = c$ on some interval $[a,b] \subset [0,\infty)$, then $f|[a,\infty) = c$.*

Proof. We write η for vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and ξ for vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$; by $(\eta, 0)$ we denote a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Assertion i) follows easily from the observation that for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ $f(|\eta|^2) = f(|(\eta,0)|^2)$ and a straightforward application of Theorem 1 with $\xi_j = (\eta_j, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Assertion iii) follows at once from ii) since f is increasing and concave.

To see ii) we take any $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Set for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\phi(\alpha) := \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \Big(f(|\eta_j - \eta_k|^2 + \alpha^2) - f(|\eta_j - \eta_k|^2) \Big) \mu_j \bar{\mu}_k.$$

We claim that $\alpha \mapsto \phi(\alpha)$ is a one-dimensional variogram. We verify the conditions of Theorem 1. Obviously $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(-\alpha)$. Now take $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p = 0$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p,q=1}^{m} \phi(\alpha_p - \alpha_q) \lambda_p \bar{\lambda}_q &= \sum_{p,q=1}^{m} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \Big(f\big(|\eta_j - \eta_k|^2 + (\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2\big) - f\big(|\eta_j - \eta_k|^2\big) \Big) \mu_j \bar{\mu}_k \lambda_p \bar{\lambda}_q \\
&= \sum_{p,q=1}^{m} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} f\big(|\eta_j - \eta_k|^2 + (\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2\big) \mu_j \bar{\mu}_k \lambda_p \bar{\lambda}_q \\
&= \sum_{(p,j)} \sum_{(q,k)} f\big(|(\eta_j, \alpha_p) - (\eta_k, \alpha_q)|^2\big) \, (\mu_j \lambda_p) \overline{(\mu_k \lambda_q)} \le 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used that $f(|\xi|^2)$ is a variogram in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as well as the characterization of variograms by Theorem 1.

This shows that $\phi(\alpha) \ge \phi(0)$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Thus $f(|\xi|^2 + \alpha^2) \ge f(|\xi|^2)$ which shows that f is indeed increasing. That f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ is trivial since $\xi \mapsto f(|\xi|^2)$ is continuous.

Moreover,

$$\xi \mapsto g_\alpha(\xi) := f(|\xi|^2) + f(\alpha^2) - f(|\xi|^2 + \alpha^2) - f(0), \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a variogram. Clearly, $g_\alpha(0) = 0$ and $g_\alpha(\xi) = g_\alpha(-\xi)$. Choosing $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_j \lambda_j = 0$ we get

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_\alpha(\xi_j - \xi_k) \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \Big(f(|\xi_j - \xi_k|^2) - f(|\xi_j - \xi_k|^2 + \alpha^2) \Big) \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k \le 0$$

since $\phi(\alpha) \ge 0$. Taking $n = 2$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = 1$ and $\xi_1 = \xi$ and $\xi_2 = 0$ reveals that

$$f(|\xi|^2 + \alpha^2) \le f(0) + f(|\xi|^2 + \alpha^2) \le f(|\xi|^2) + f(\alpha^2).$$

This proves subadditivity of f on $[0, \infty)$.

Consider the function

$$0 < r \mapsto h_\alpha(r) := f(r) + f(\alpha^2) - f(r + \alpha^2).$$

The above calculations show that $h_\alpha(r) = g_\alpha(r) + f(0)$ is a function such that $h_\alpha(|\xi|^2)$ is a variogram. Thus, $h_\alpha(r)$ is increasing: $h_\alpha(r + c) \ge h_\alpha(c)$ and we find with $\alpha^2 = c'$ and for all $r \ge 0$

$$f(r + c) + f(c') - f(r + c + c') \ge f(c) + f(c') - f(c' + c) \quad \overset{r=c'}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \frac{1}{2}\big(f(c) + f(2c' + c)\big) \le f(c' + c).$$

Since $c' + c = \frac{1}{2}(c + 2c' + c)$ this is just the concavity of f at mid-points; as f is continuous, we get that f is concave. □

We conclude this section by another curious way to construct continuous variograms and, more generally, complex-valued conditionally negative definite functions with the help of Bernstein functions. The interesting fact in the example below is the product structure which is quite unusual for conditionally negative definite functions.

Proposition 20. *Let f be a Bernstein function such that the representing measure ν in the Levy-Khinchine formula (3) has a monotone decreasing density m, i.e. $f(x) = \alpha + \beta x + \int_{]0,\infty[}(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-xt})\, m(t)\, dt$.*

Then $\xi \mapsto -i\xi f(i\xi)$ is conditionally negative definite, and $\xi \mapsto -\mathrm{Re}\,(i\xi f(i\xi))$ is a continuous variogram.

Proof. By the monotonicity of m we see that $m(t) = \nu[t, \infty)$ for a (Lévy-) measure ν, *i.e.* a measure ν on $]0, \infty[$ satisfying $\int_{]0,\infty[} t(1+t)^{-1}\, \nu(dt)$ The integration properties of ν become clear from the calculation below since we have only used Fubini's theorem for positive integrands to swap integrals. For $x \geq 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} xf(x) &= \alpha x + \beta x^2 + \int_0^\infty x(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-xt}) \int_t^\infty \nu(ds)\, dt \\ &= \alpha x + \beta x^2 + \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty x(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-xt})\, \nu(ds)\, dt \\ &= \alpha x + \beta x^2 + \int_0^\infty \int_0^s x(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-xt})\, dt\, \nu(ds) \\ &= \alpha x + \beta x^2 + \int_0^\infty \left[\mathrm{e}^{-xs} - 1 + sx\right] \nu(ds) \end{aligned}$$

which, as by-product, shows that $\int_0^\infty s^2 \wedge s\, \mu(ds) < \infty$. We may, therefore, plug in $z = i\xi$ and get

$$i\xi f(i\xi) = -\Big(- ia\xi + b\xi^2 + \int_0^\infty \left[1 - \mathrm{e}^{-is\xi} - is\xi\right] \mu(ds)\Big).$$

Thus, $\gamma(\xi) := -i\xi f(i\xi)$ is conditionally negative definite and $\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(\xi)$ is a variogram. □

References

[1] Ayache, A., Leger, S., Pontier, M., Drap Brownien fractionnaire, *Potential Analysis*, **17** (2002), 31-43.

[2] Berg, C., The Stieltjes Cone is Logarithmically Convex, in: Laine, I., Lehto, O. and Sorvali, T. (eds.), *Complex Analysis, Joensuu 1978*, Springer, Lect. Notes Math. vol. **747**, Berlin 1979, 46–54.

[3] Berg, C. and G. Forst, *Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, Springer, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. Bd. **87**, Berlin 1975.

[4] Berg, C., Mateu, J., and Porcu, E., The Dagum family of isotropic correlation functions, *Bernoulli*, **14** (2008), 1134-1149.

[5] Bochner, S., *Harmonic Analysis and the Theory of Probability*, University of California Press, Calif. Monogr. Math. Sci., Berkeley (CA) 1955.

[6] Chilès, J.-P. and Delfiner, P.: *Geostatistics: Modeling Spatial Uncertainty*, Wiley Ser. Probab. Math. Stat., Chichester 1999.

[7] Christakos, G., On the problem of permissible covariance and variogram models, *Water Resources Res.*, **20** (1984) 2, 251-265.

[8] Gandin, L.S., General problem of optimal interpolation and extrapolation of meteorological fields, *Trudy MGO*, **168**, 1965.

[9] Gaspari, G. and Cohn, S. E., Construction of correlation functions in two and three dimensions. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* , **125** (1999), 723-757.

[10] Gneiting, T., Isotropic correlation functions on d-dimensional balls, *Advances in Applied Probability*, **31** (1999), 625-631.

[11] Gneiting, T., Criteria of Pólya type for radial positive definite functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **129** (2001), 2309-2318.

[12] Gneiting, T., Compactly supported correlation functions, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **83** (2002), 493-508.

[13] Gneiting, T. and Schlather, M., *Stochastic models that separate fractal dimension and the Hurst effect*, SIAM Review, **46** (2004), 269-282.

[14] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood J. E. and Pólya, G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1952 (2nd ed.).

[15] Jacob, N., *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes. Vol. 1: Fourier Analysis and Semigroups*, Imperial College Press, London 2001.

[16] Jacob, N. and Schilling, R. L., Function spaces as Dirichlet spaces (about a paper by Maz'ya and Nagel), *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, **24** (2005), 3–28.

[17] Ma, C., Why is isotropy so prevalent in spatial statistics?, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **135** (2007), 865-871.

[18] Matheron, G., The intrinsic random functions and their applications. Adv. Appl. Prob., **5** (1973), 439-468.

[19] Matérn, B., *Spatial variation*, Second edition, Lecture notes in statistics, **36**, Springer-Verlag 1986.

[20] Nakamura, Y., Classes of operator monotone functions and Stieltjes functions, in *The Gohberg Anniversary Collection, Vol. II: Topics in Analysis and Operator Theory*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 41, Dym, H. et al. (eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel 1989, 395–404.

[21] Porcu, E., Mateu, J., and Christakos, G., Quasi-arithmetic means of covariance functions with potential applications to space-time data, Submitted (2007).

[22] Sasvári, Z., On the Extension Problem for Covariance Functions, to appear in *Positive Definite Functions: from Schoenberg to Space-Time Challenges*, Porcu E. and Mateu J. *eds.*, Castellón de la Plana 2008.

[23] Schilling, R. L., Song, R., and Vondraček, Z., *Bernstein functions: theory and applications*, Monograph in preparation.

[24] Schilling, R. L., Subordination in the sense of Bochner and a related functional calculus, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **64** (1998), 368–396.

[25] Schoenberg, I. J., Metric spaces and positive definite functions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **44** (1938), 522–536.

[26] Stein, M., *Interpolation of Spatial Data: Some Theory for Kriging*, New York: Springer-Verlag 1999.

[27] Uchiyama, M., A new majorization between functions, polynomials and operator inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **231** (2006), 221–244.

[28] Wendland, H., Piecewise polynomial, positive definite and compactly supported radial basis functions of minimal degree, *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, **4** (1995), 389-396.

[29] Wendland, H. , *Scattered Data Approximation*, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005.